



**REDISTRICTING COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA
JANUARY 13, 2022
6:00 P.M.**

***IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT PUBLIC COMMENTS AND MEETING PARTICIPATION*
UPDATED NOVEMBER 24, 2021**

ATENCIÓN: Traducción disponible: Si necesita servicios de traducción al español para esta reunión, notifique al Secretario de la Ciudad 72 horas antes de la reunión (antes del lunes 10 de enero de 2022 a las 6:00 p.m.). Póngase en contacto con redistricting@brentwoodca.gov para solicitar servicios de traducción.

ATTENTION: Translation Available: Should you require Spanish translation services for this meeting, please notify the City Clerk 72 hours prior to the meeting (by Monday, January 10, 2022 by 6:00 p.m.). Contact redistricting@brentwoodca.gov to request translation services.

Consistent with Contra Costa County Health orders, and in accordance with California Government Code Section 54953 concerning teleconference meetings, this Redistricting Commission meeting will be held exclusively via teleconference, using the Zoom video conferencing system.

How to View and Participate

The public is invited to participate in the Redistricting Commission meeting and offer comments of up to 3 minutes (or as may otherwise be determined by the Council) using any of the following methods:

1. Zoom: www.brentwoodca.gov/brc Webinar ID: 792 0550 3663

As the Council Chamber will not be open, the public, individuals may view and participate in the meeting with this link. During the meeting, each period for public comment will be announced, and participants may use the “Raise Hand” feature on Zoom to request to speak. The meeting host will call on you, by name, and enable your video, if desired to be enabled, and microphone when it is your turn to speak. In order to ensure the orderly administration of the meeting using this method, providing your name is encouraged, but is not required. ***(If you need instructions on how to use this feature, please contact the City Clerk by noon of the meeting date at cityclerk@brentwoodca.gov or 925.516.5182.)***

The public may view the meeting via one-way video feed by selecting the video option at the Redistricting Commission “Agendas” link on the City webpage at www.brentwoodca.gov/redistricting

2. Telephone

If you wish to comment during the meeting via telephone, you may “raise your hand” virtually on most devices by pressing *3, and you will be called upon when it is your time to speak. After speaking, please press *3 again to remove the “raise your hand” feature. If that feature does not work on your device, please email cityclerk@brentwoodca.gov in advance of the meeting where possible. The request must contain in the subject line “Request to Speak – Agenda Item #” and should include name and full phone number that will be used to call in. In order to ensure the orderly administration of the meeting using this method, providing your name is encouraged, but is not required.

3. E-mail

Public comments can also be submitted via e-mail to redistricting@brentwoodca.gov. Any public comments received up until 12:00 p.m. of the meeting date will be:

- § distributed to the Redistricting Commission via email before the meeting,
- § posted online for public inspection at <https://www.brentwoodca.gov/redistricting>, and
- § later summarized in the meeting minutes.

Public comments received after 12:00 p.m. of the meeting date, but prior to the start of the meeting, will be emailed to the Redistricting Commission, posted online within one day following the meeting, and will be summarized in the meeting minutes. The City cannot guarantee that its network, website, and/or the Zoom system will be uninterrupted. In the event of an interruption to the broadcasting of the meeting using the telephone or internet-based options listed above, the Redistricting Commission will take no further action on items on the agenda until

public access to the meeting via either option is restored. To ensure that the Redistricting Commission receives your comments prior to taking action, **you are strongly encouraged to submit them in advance of the meeting by 12:00 p.m.**

As e-mails containing public meeting comments are part of the official record, note that personal contact information may be published if it is included with your e-mail.

6:00 P.M. – REDISTRICTING COMMISSION AGENDA

ROLL CALL AND CALL TO ORDER

PUBLIC COMMENTS

At this time the public is permitted to address the Redistricting Commission on the Consent Calendar and matters not on the agenda. Persons addressing the Redistricting Commission are required to limit their remarks to three (3) minutes unless an extension of time is granted by the Chair, subject to approval of the Redistricting Commission. Please use the Raise Your Hand feature in Zoom during this item to speak under Public Comments. Speakers desiring answers to questions should direct them to the Redistricting Commission and, if relevant, the Redistricting Commission may direct them to the appropriate staff member.

CONSENT CALENDAR

A.1 Minutes of the Redistricting Commission meeting of December 2, 2021. (Margaret Wimberly)

PUBLIC HEARING

B.1 Hold a public hearing to receive information about any communities of interest testimony received, review maps submitted by the public/commissioners and draft maps from the demographer, and discuss the number and types of maps it will send to the City Council, as well as any potential revisions to those maps. (Damien Brower/Tim Ogden/Margaret Wimberly)

ADJOURN REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Any disclosable public records related to an agenda item for this meeting distributed to all or a majority of the Redistricting Commission less than 72 hours before this meeting are available on the City's website at www.brentwoodca.gov/redistricting

NOTICE

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. If you are a person with a disability and you need disability-related modifications or accommodations to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk's Office at (925) 516-5440 or fax (925) 516-5441. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting. {28 CFR 35.102-35, 104 ADA Title II}

POSTING STATEMENT

On January 6, 2022, a true and correct copy of this agenda was posted on the City Hall Bulletin Board, outside City Hall, 150 City Park Way, Brentwood, CA 94513 and at our website www.brentwoodca.gov/redistricting

A complete packet of information is available for public review on our website at www.brentwoodca.gov/redistricting



REDISTRICTING COMMISSION MINUTES

ROLL CALL AND CALL TO ORDER - Chair Luker called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.; roll call found Commissioners Anderson, Myers, Roberts, and Wilson, and Chair Luker present.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

None.

CONSENT CALENDAR

It was moved/seconded by Myers/Roberts to adopt Consent Calendar Item A.1 with the corrections made to item A.1. Roll call vote found Commissioners Anderson, Myers, Roberts, and Wilson, and Chair Luker in favor. Motion carried unanimous.

A.1 Minutes of the Redistricting Commission meeting of October 14, 2021. (Margaret Wimberly)

PUBLIC HEARING

B.1 Hold a public hearing of to receive public input on the composition of one or more districts and communities of interest; and provide initial direction to the demographer on the composition of draft district boundary maps (Damien Brower/Tim Ogden/Margaret Wimberly)

Following the presentation of the staff report, Chair Luker opened the public hearing. Ellen Poole, alternate Redistricting Commissioner, indicated she did not receive documentation that was mentioned in the first part of the meeting, and asked if that information should be distributed to the alternate commission members.

There being no one else wishing to speak it was moved/seconded by Myers/Anderson to close the public hearing. Roll call vote found Commissioners Anderson, Myers, Roberts, and Wilson, and Chair Luker in favor. Motion carried unanimous.

BUSINESS ITEMS

C.1 Consideration of a Request from Commissioner Myers to change the Commission's Scheduled February 10, 2022, Public Hearing to Another Date. (Tim Ogden/Margaret Wimberly)

Commissioner Myers indicated she would like to remove the item from consideration.

Chair Luker opened the public comment period, there being no one wishing to speak on the item it was closed.

No Commission action was required on this item.

C.2 Update on Outreach efforts related to the Redistricting Process, with discussion and possible direction from the Redistricting Commission. (Tim Ogden/Margaret Wimberly)

Chair Luker opened the public comment period, there being no one wishing to speak on the item it was closed.

No Commission action was required on this item.

ADJOURN REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

It was moved/seconded by Anderson/Myers to adjourn until January 13, 2022. Roll call vote found Commissioners Anderson, Myers, Roberts, and Wilson, and Chair Luker in favor. Motion carried unanimous.

REDISTRICTING COMMISSION AGENDA ITEM NO. B.1

Meeting Date: January 13, 2022

Subject/Title: A public hearing to receive information about any communities of interest testimony received, review maps submitted by the public/commissioners and draft maps from the demographer, and discuss the number and types of maps it will send to the City Council, as well as any potential revisions to those maps.

Prepared by: Margaret Wimberly, City Clerk
Damien Brower, City Attorney

Submitted by: Tim Ogden, City Manager

RECOMMENDATION

That the Redistricting Commission 1) hold the third of four public hearings to receive information about any communities of interest testimony received, review maps submitted by the public/commissioners and draft maps from the demographer; 2) provide direction on any potential revisions to those maps to the demographer, and 3) discuss the potential number and types of maps it will send to the City Council.

PREVIOUS ACTION

On October 2, 2021, the Redistricting Commission received an overview of the redistricting process from the demographer and held the first of four public hearings to receive community input regarding the boundaries and the composition of districts to be established for City Council Member elections.

On December 2, 2021, the Redistricting Commission held the second of four public hearings to receive public input on the composition of one or more districts and communities of interest; and provided initial direction to the demographer on the composition of draft district boundary maps.

BACKGROUND

On July 9, 2019, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 1010, which, for the first time, established City Council member electoral districts, a district election process, and the sequence of those district elections. Cities that hold district elections must revisit the districts' composition every ten years, in step with the Federal Census.

On April 27, 2021, the City Council created this Commission, which is charged with conducting public meetings and selecting, by early next spring, two or more electoral district maps for consideration by the City Council.

On August 12, 2021, the U.S. Census Bureau released census data in a format that the Statewide Database at U.C. Berkeley used to provide the dataset required by state law for redistricting. This dataset was finalized on September 20, 2021, and showed the population of Brentwood increasing from 51,481 to 64,381 in the last decade.

Before developing draft district boundaries, the Redistricting Commission is to receive comments from residents and interested community groups at and before public hearings to understand the community's view of communities of interest and appropriate district boundaries. In this regard, the Commission held public hearings on October 2 and December 2, 2021 to receive community input regarding City Council district boundaries and composition, and provide direction to the demographer on district boundaries and their composition.

Along with reviewing public comments about communities of interest and district boundaries that were submitted to the City before tonight's meeting, the Commission will receive public input and provide further direction to finalize at least two draft maps, which will then be forwarded to the City Council for selection of a final map of new electoral districts.

Attached to this staff report are draft maps that have been submitted by members of the public through the December 30, 2021 submittal deadline; members of the Commission; and the City's demographer. In addition, demographic information regarding each of the draft maps are included with this staff report. During tonight's hearing, the City's demographer will review the draft maps and population information with the Commission.

Along with reviewing the draft maps and population information, staff recommends that the Commission begin discussions about the number and types of maps it will send to the City Council. For example, based on the redistricting criteria set by law and on public testimony about communities of interest, the Commission may conclude that it can draw several different, but legally satisfactory plans, each of which accomplishes different goals and each of which should be sent to the City Council. On the other hand, the Commission may favor the same general approach for dividing the City, and decide to send two or more plans that are variations on that theme. It is not necessary to reach a decision on these issues at this hearing, but it will be helpful for the Commission, demographer, and public to hear how the Commissioners view these questions.

At the end of tonight's hearing, the Commission will instruct the demographer on what draft maps they would like to consider at their February public hearing, and any potential revisions to those maps. The City's demographer will then create two or more draft maps, which will be published for public comment and reviewed at the February meeting.

District Formation Criteria

In 2019, the Fair and Inclusive Redistricting for Municipalities and Political Subdivisions (FAIR MAPS) Act was adopted, establishing the legally required criteria that apply to the creation of council districts. FAIR MAPS was not in effect when the City's initial district maps were adopted. In order of priority as established by law, the requirements include:

1. Each council district shall contain nearly substantially equal population, with any deviations from the ideal district size of less than 10 percent.
2. A districting plan shall be drawn in a manner that complies with the state and federal Constitutions, the Federal Voting Rights Act, and state law.
3. Consistent with the principles of Equal Protection recognized by the United States Supreme Court in *Shaw v. Reno* (1993) 509 U.S. 630, council districts shall not be drawn with race as the predominant factor.

In addition to the above requirements for creating district boundaries, state law requires the Commission to use the following criteria in the following order of priority:

1. To the extent practicable, districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous.
2. To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.
3. Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of a city.
4. To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria, council district boundaries shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

Finally, state law prohibits the Commission from adopting district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

The community is strongly encouraged to provide input on some or all of these criteria.

The Commission will be informed by the public’s input, and it must balance the above criteria to determine how they are applicable or appropriate in Brentwood.

Next Steps

On Thursday, February 10, 2022, the Commission will hold its fourth public hearing, and will review the public maps and draft maps, and provide a recommendation on which maps should be submitted to the City Council for consideration and approval.

The public is invited to submit information for the Commission’s consideration of the final maps through any of the following methods:


- **Email.** The public may email comments to redistricting@brentwoodca.gov.
- **Written correspondence.** Written comments may be mailed to the City Clerk at 150 City Park Way, Brentwood, CA 94513.
- **Meeting participation.** Individuals may participate in any of the upcoming public hearings on redistricting, which are scheduled as shown below. At this time, due to COVID-19, all meetings of the Redistricting Commission are being conducted via Zoom, and are live-streamed through the City’s webpage (www.brentwoodca.gov).

At its September 9th meeting, the Commission adopted the following schedule setting forth future meeting and public hearing dates:

| HEARING | SUBJECT | STATUS |
|------------------------|---|---|
| 1 | Hold first public hearing with the demographer, receive an overview of the mapping process and communities of interest. | Complete |
| Between these meetings | Outreach to the Brentwood community on opportunities to provide community of interest feedback to the Commission | Ongoing |
| 2 | Hold the second public hearing – receive community of interest testimony and provide direction for drafting maps with the demographer. | Complete |
| 3 | Hold the third public hearing – review the public and draft maps with the demographer, including potential revisions. | 1/13/2022 |
| 4 | Hold the fourth public hearing – review the public maps and draft maps, and provide a recommendation on which maps should be submitted to the City Council. | 2/10/2022 |
| 5 | Hold a fifth public hearing (if needed) – further discussion could be held prior to selecting the maps to provide to the City Council. | (If needed, will occur before March 2022) |


FISCAL IMPACT

The costs associated with the Redistricting process were included in the June 2021 City Council approved Mid-Term Operating Budget.




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,156 | +0.4% | 10,791 | 19.1% | 9.5% | 12.7% | 55.0% |
| 2 | 15,992 | -0.6% | 9,275 | 24.1% | 15.3% | 9.0% | 49.8% |
| 3 | 16,557 | +2.9% | 13,518 | 12.2% | 9.4% | 7.0% | 69.8% |
| 4 | 15,676 | -2.6% | 9,656 | 27.3% | 9.2% | 6.9% | 51.7% |
| Total | 64,381 | 5.5% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |

Prepared by
WAGAMAN
 STRATEGIES




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 15,747 | -2.2% | 10,199 | 18.9% | 11.6% | 11.6% | 52.6% |
| 2 | 16,435 | +2.1% | 9,577 | 26.7% | 14.7% | 9.4% | 46.9% |
| 3 | 16,134 | +0.2% | 13,195 | 12.7% | 9.0% | 7.0% | 70.3% |
| 4 | 16,065 | -0.2% | 10,269 | 23.6% | 7.9% | 8.0% | 57.0% |
| Total | 64,381 | 4.3% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,000 | -0.6% | 11,371 | 16.6% | 12.6% | 11.0% | 56.3% |
| 2 | 16,071 | -0.1% | 9,496 | 21.9% | 16.9% | 10.5% | 48.3% |
| 3 | 16,719 | +3.9% | 12,637 | 14.2% | 6.4% | 4.6% | 73.3% |
| 4 | 15,591 | -3.1% | 9,736 | 29.1% | 7.7% | 10.1% | 48.7% |
| Total | 64,381 | 7.0% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |

Prepared by
WAGAMAN
 STRATEGIES




| # | Population ^ | | Citizen Voting Age Population* | | | | |
|-------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino* | Asian* | Black* | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,091 | 0.0% | 9,939 | 21.9% | 13.8% | 12.0% | 49.4% |
| 2 | 15,952 | -0.9% | 9,646 | 24.7% | 11.2% | 7.8% | 51.8% |
| 3 | 16,590 | +3.1% | 13,217 | 14.2% | 10.5% | 8.3% | 65.6% |
| 4 | 15,748 | -2.2% | 10,438 | 20.6% | 7.3% | 7.5% | 61.4% |
| Total | 64,381 | 5.2% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |


Prepared by
WAGAMAN
 STRATEGIES




| # | Population ^ | | Citizen Voting Age Population* | | | | |
|-------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino* | Asian* | Black* | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,187 | +0.6% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 2 | 16,554 | +2.9% | 11,086 | 19.0% | 9.5% | 12.4% | 55.4% |
| 3 | 15,551 | -3.4% | 9,596 | 24.9% | 9.6% | 6.5% | 54.9% |
| 4 | 16,089 | 0.0% | 9,322 | 26.6% | 14.8% | 9.4% | 46.7% |
| Total | 64,381 | 6.2% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population [*] | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 15,895 | -1.2% | 9,511 | 27.6% | 10.6% | 8.4% | 48.8% |
| 2 | 16,067 | -0.2% | 10,107 | 18.8% | 13.5% | 12.8% | 52.3% |
| 3 | 16,425 | +2.1% | 12,573 | 14.8% | 10.4% | 8.4% | 64.3% |
| 4 | 15,994 | -0.6% | 11,049 | 19.9% | 8.3% | 6.1% | 63.1% |
| Total | 64,381 | 3.3% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population [*] | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,207 | +0.7% | 11,889 | 13.6% | 8.2% | 7.0% | 68.3% |
| 2 | 16,061 | -0.2% | 11,980 | 19.0% | 9.7% | 9.6% | 60.2% |
| 3 | 16,145 | +0.3% | 10,028 | 18.7% | 13.0% | 11.8% | 53.8% |
| 4 | 15,968 | -0.8% | 9,343 | 30.0% | 12.5% | 6.9% | 45.6% |
| Total | 64,381 | 1.5% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino* | Asian* | Black* | NH-White |
| 1 | 14,812 | -8.0% | 11,051 | 13.6% | 8.6% | 7.1% | 67.6% |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 64,381 | | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population [*] | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,187 | +0.6% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 2 | 15,933 | -1.0% | 10,240 | 26.3% | 7.9% | 8.4% | 53.3% |
| 3 | 16,042 | -0.3% | 9,325 | 24.0% | 14.7% | 8.8% | 50.8% |
| 4 | 16,219 | +0.8% | 10,439 | 19.7% | 11.3% | 11.4% | 53.3% |
| Total | 64,381 | 1.8% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,165 | +0.4% | 11,566 | 17.3% | 13.2% | 9.8% | 55.6% |
| 2 | 15,825 | -1.7% | 9,979 | 22.8% | 11.5% | 10.9% | 54.3% |
| 3 | 15,864 | -1.4% | 9,593 | 24.7% | 11.2% | 7.9% | 51.9% |
| 4 | 16,527 | +2.7% | 12,102 | 16.0% | 7.0% | 6.9% | 67.4% |
| Total | 64,381 | 4.4% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,020 | -0.5% | 13,059 | 12.6% | 9.8% | 7.7% | 68.7% |
| 2 | 15,986 | -0.7% | 10,094 | 21.3% | 11.3% | 11.1% | 52.1% |
| 3 | 16,230 | +0.8% | 10,634 | 21.2% | 7.4% | 7.7% | 59.5% |
| 4 | 16,145 | +0.3% | 9,453 | 26.8% | 14.7% | 9.3% | 46.9% |
| Total | 64,381 | 1.5% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population ^ | | Citizen Voting Age Population* | | | | |
|-------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino* | Asian* | Black* | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,212 | +0.7% | 10,576 | 23.9% | 7.7% | 8.6% | 56.5% |
| 2 | 16,260 | +1.0% | 13,293 | 12.2% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.6% |
| 3 | 15,843 | -1.6% | 10,210 | 22.0% | 11.4% | 11.1% | 51.5% |
| 4 | 16,066 | -0.2% | 9,161 | 23.9% | 14.9% | 9.1% | 49.1% |
| Total | 64,381 | 2.6% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,207 | +0.7% | 11,889 | 13.6% | 8.2% | 7.0% | 68.3% |
| 2 | 16,042 | -0.3% | 11,385 | 20.2% | 10.2% | 8.2% | 59.4% |
| 3 | 15,966 | -0.8% | 10,252 | 19.5% | 12.0% | 11.2% | 53.7% |
| 4 | 16,166 | +0.4% | 9,714 | 27.4% | 12.7% | 9.4% | 47.2% |
| Total | 64,381 | 1.5% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino* | Asian* | Black* | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,207 | +0.7% | 11,889 | 13.6% | 8.2% | 7.0% | 68.3% |
| 2 | 16,042 | -0.3% | 11,385 | 20.2% | 10.2% | 8.2% | 59.4% |
| 3 | 15,966 | -0.8% | 10,252 | 19.5% | 12.0% | 11.2% | 53.7% |
| 4 | 16,166 | +0.4% | 9,714 | 27.4% | 12.7% | 9.4% | 47.2% |
| Total | 64,381 | 1.5% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population [*] | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 15,977 | -0.7% | 10,324 | 20.9% | 11.0% | 11.2% | 52.8% |
| 2 | 16,089 | 0.0% | 9,322 | 26.6% | 14.8% | 9.4% | 46.7% |
| 3 | 16,187 | +0.6% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 4 | 16,128 | +0.2% | 10,358 | 22.5% | 8.1% | 8.2% | 57.5% |
| Total | 64,381 | 1.3% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino* | Asian* | Black* | NH-White |
| 1 | 15,673 | -2.6% | 10,331 | 18.5% | 11.3% | 10.6% | 55.0% |
| 2 | 15,903 | -1.2% | 9,373 | 27.4% | 14.4% | 8.8% | 47.2% |
| 3 | 16,785 | +4.3% | 13,650 | 12.8% | 8.7% | 7.8% | 69.5% |
| 4 | 16,020 | -0.5% | 9,886 | 23.8% | 9.0% | 8.5% | 54.5% |
| Total | 64,381 | 6.9% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population [*] | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,534 | +2.7% | 12,553 | 17.8% | 13.8% | 10.4% | 55.5% |
| 2 | 16,064 | -0.2% | 9,317 | 20.0% | 12.4% | 10.7% | 54.3% |
| 3 | 15,645 | -2.8% | 9,543 | 27.4% | 8.9% | 8.4% | 51.1% |
| 4 | 16,138 | +0.3% | 11,827 | 15.9% | 7.3% | 6.1% | 68.4% |
| Total | 64,381 | 5.5% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,187 | +0.6% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 2 | 15,877 | -1.4% | 10,591 | 18.7% | 9.7% | 12.3% | 55.5% |
| 3 | 16,134 | +0.2% | 10,005 | 24.9% | 9.3% | 6.9% | 54.7% |
| 4 | 16,183 | +0.5% | 9,408 | 26.6% | 14.9% | 9.3% | 46.8% |
| Total | 64,381 | 1.9% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population [*] | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,511 | +2.6% | 9,867 | 24.7% | 8.9% | 7.7% | 53.0% |
| 2 | 15,754 | -2.1% | 9,498 | 25.8% | 15.2% | 9.8% | 48.8% |
| 3 | 16,187 | +0.6% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 4 | 15,929 | -1.0% | 10,639 | 19.6% | 9.7% | 11.2% | 55.3% |
| Total | 64,381 | 4.7% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,335 | +1.5% | 13,351 | 12.2% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.6% |
| 2 | 16,378 | +1.8% | 10,958 | 19.0% | 9.5% | 12.5% | 55.4% |
| 3 | 15,786 | -1.9% | 9,939 | 26.6% | 8.5% | 7.1% | 54.2% |
| 4 | 15,882 | -1.3% | 8,992 | 24.8% | 16.3% | 8.9% | 47.0% |
| Total | 64,381 | 3.7% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |




| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population [*] | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,929 | +5.2% | 13,810 | 12.7% | 9.4% | 7.0% | 69.4% |
| 2 | 14,952 | -7.1% | 9,584 | 19.4% | 11.3% | 11.8% | 52.7% |
| 3 | 15,207 | -5.5% | 8,655 | 24.0% | 15.5% | 9.3% | 48.9% |
| 4 | 17,293 | +7.4% | 11,191 | 25.9% | 7.9% | 8.2% | 54.7% |
| Total | 64,381 | 14.5% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |



| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population [*] | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,100 | 0.0% | 12,234 | 17.9% | 12.8% | 9.2% | 57.8% |
| 2 | 15,899 | -1.2% | 9,329 | 23.3% | 10.9% | 10.8% | 51.8% |
| 3 | 16,527 | +2.7% | 12,102 | 16.0% | 7.0% | 6.9% | 67.4% |
| 4 | 15,855 | -1.5% | 9,575 | 23.9% | 12.3% | 8.9% | 51.4% |
| Total | 64,381 | 4.2% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |



| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population ⁺ | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,125 | +0.2% | 10,014 | 22.3% | 12.0% | 7.7% | 54.6% |
| 2 | 16,192 | +0.6% | 9,399 | 29.4% | 12.0% | 8.5% | 46.9% |
| 3 | 16,187 | +0.6% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 4 | 15,877 | -1.4% | 10,591 | 18.7% | 9.7% | 12.3% | 55.5% |
| Total | 64,381 | 2.0% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |



| # | Population [^] | | Citizen Voting Age Population [*] | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Total | Deviation | Total | Latino [*] | Asian [*] | Black [*] | NH-White |
| 1 | 16,207 | +0.7% | 11,889 | 13.6% | 8.2% | 7.0% | 68.3% |
| 2 | 16,070 | -0.2% | 12,348 | 18.2% | 12.8% | 10.0% | 56.8% |
| 3 | 16,111 | +0.1% | 9,946 | 26.0% | 7.0% | 9.3% | 53.8% |
| 4 | 15,993 | -0.6% | 9,057 | 23.5% | 14.9% | 9.1% | 49.7% |
| Total | 64,381 | 1.3% | 43,240 | 19.9% | 10.6% | 8.8% | 57.8% |
| Ideal | 16,095 | | | | | | |

Brentwood Redistricting: January 13, 2022

Draft and Public Plans

| Plan | | Population | | | | | | | Voting Age Population | | | | | Citizen Voting Age Population | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-----------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| Name | # | Total | Deviation | % Dev | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White | Total | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White | Total | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White |
| Blue | 1 | 16,156 | 61 | 0.4% | 25.4% | 16.2% | 8.3% | 45.3% | 11,580 | 23.6% | 15.9% | 7.9% | 48.1% | 10,791 | 19.1% | 9.5% | 12.7% | 55.0% |
| Blue | 2 | 15,992 | -103 | -0.6% | 33.7% | 16.6% | 8.7% | 36.7% | 11,397 | 32.2% | 16.7% | 8.4% | 38.4% | 9,275 | 24.1% | 15.3% | 9.0% | 49.8% |
| Blue | 3 | 16,557 | 462 | 2.9% | 16.0% | 15.3% | 6.4% | 58.4% | 13,316 | 14.0% | 13.9% | 5.7% | 62.8% | 13,518 | 12.2% | 9.4% | 7.0% | 69.8% |
| Blue | 4 | 15,676 | -419 | -2.6% | 31.9% | 13.7% | 6.8% | 42.5% | 11,266 | 29.4% | 13.3% | 6.6% | 46.1% | 9,656 | 27.3% | 9.2% | 6.9% | 51.7% |
| Purple | 1 | 15,747 | -348 | -2.2% | 24.3% | 18.6% | 9.0% | 43.0% | 11,157 | 22.4% | 18.4% | 8.7% | 45.8% | 10,199 | 18.9% | 11.6% | 11.6% | 52.6% |
| Purple | 2 | 16,435 | 340 | 2.1% | 35.9% | 16.1% | 8.9% | 34.9% | 11,661 | 34.1% | 16.2% | 8.7% | 36.8% | 9,577 | 26.7% | 14.7% | 9.4% | 46.9% |
| Purple | 3 | 16,134 | 39 | 0.2% | 16.0% | 14.9% | 6.2% | 59.1% | 13,087 | 14.2% | 13.5% | 5.7% | 63.3% | 13,195 | 12.7% | 9.0% | 7.0% | 70.3% |
| Purple | 4 | 16,065 | -30 | -0.2% | 30.0% | 12.2% | 6.0% | 46.5% | 11,654 | 27.7% | 11.8% | 5.7% | 50.0% | 10,269 | 23.6% | 7.9% | 8.0% | 57.0% |
| Red | 1 | 16,000 | -95 | -0.6% | 21.7% | 18.5% | 8.7% | 46.1% | 11,530 | 19.7% | 18.4% | 8.5% | 48.7% | 11,371 | 16.6% | 12.6% | 11.0% | 56.3% |
| Red | 2 | 16,071 | -24 | -0.1% | 29.2% | 20.1% | 9.5% | 36.8% | 11,428 | 27.6% | 20.2% | 9.4% | 38.5% | 9,496 | 21.9% | 16.9% | 10.5% | 48.3% |
| Red | 3 | 16,719 | 624 | 3.9% | 20.5% | 10.7% | 4.6% | 59.9% | 13,417 | 18.0% | 9.8% | 4.1% | 64.4% | 12,637 | 14.2% | 6.4% | 4.6% | 73.3% |
| Red | 4 | 15,591 | -504 | -3.1% | 35.6% | 12.5% | 7.4% | 39.8% | 11,184 | 33.3% | 12.0% | 7.0% | 43.4% | 9,736 | 29.1% | 7.7% | 10.1% | 48.7% |
| Green | 1 | 16,091 | -4 | 0.0% | 27.4% | 19.5% | 9.7% | 38.9% | 11,380 | 25.6% | 19.8% | 9.8% | 40.6% | 9,939 | 21.9% | 13.8% | 12.0% | 49.4% |
| Green | 2 | 15,952 | -143 | -0.9% | 35.7% | 13.2% | 7.4% | 38.8% | 11,340 | 33.6% | 12.8% | 7.1% | 41.8% | 9,646 | 24.7% | 11.2% | 7.8% | 51.8% |
| Green | 3 | 16,590 | 495 | 3.1% | 17.6% | 16.5% | 7.1% | 54.6% | 13,017 | 15.4% | 15.3% | 6.3% | 59.2% | 13,217 | 14.2% | 10.5% | 8.3% | 65.6% |
| Green | 4 | 15,748 | -347 | -2.2% | 26.2% | 12.4% | 5.9% | 50.8% | 11,822 | 24.0% | 11.8% | 5.5% | 54.5% | 10,438 | 20.6% | 7.3% | 7.5% | 61.4% |

Brentwood Redistricting: January 13, 2022

Draft and Public Plans

| Plan | | Population | | | | | | | Voting Age Population | | | | | Citizen Voting Age Population | | | | |
|-------|---|------------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-----------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| Name | # | Total | Deviation | % Dev | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White | Total | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White | Total | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White |
| 79136 | 1 | 16,187 | 92 | 0.6% | 16.0% | 15.3% | 6.5% | 58.3% | 13,038 | 14.0% | 13.9% | 5.8% | 62.8% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 79136 | 2 | 16,554 | 459 | 2.9% | 25.2% | 16.2% | 8.1% | 45.6% | 11,871 | 23.3% | 15.9% | 7.8% | 48.5% | 11,086 | 19.0% | 9.5% | 12.4% | 55.4% |
| 79136 | 3 | 15,551 | -544 | -3.4% | 29.6% | 14.0% | 6.6% | 44.6% | 11,214 | 27.3% | 13.6% | 6.3% | 48.0% | 9,596 | 24.9% | 9.6% | 6.5% | 54.9% |
| 79136 | 4 | 16,089 | -6 | 0.0% | 36.0% | 16.2% | 8.8% | 34.8% | 11,436 | 34.2% | 16.3% | 8.6% | 36.6% | 9,322 | 26.6% | 14.8% | 9.4% | 46.7% |
| 80188 | 1 | 15,895 | -200 | -1.2% | 38.5% | 12.8% | 8.0% | 36.2% | 11,291 | 37.0% | 12.6% | 7.6% | 38.4% | 9,511 | 27.6% | 10.6% | 8.4% | 48.8% |
| 80188 | 2 | 16,067 | -28 | -0.2% | 24.3% | 20.3% | 9.5% | 41.4% | 11,319 | 22.2% | 20.5% | 9.6% | 43.7% | 10,107 | 18.8% | 13.5% | 12.8% | 52.3% |
| 80188 | 3 | 16,425 | 330 | 2.1% | 19.2% | 16.3% | 6.8% | 53.3% | 12,607 | 17.0% | 15.3% | 6.1% | 57.5% | 12,573 | 14.8% | 10.4% | 8.4% | 64.3% |
| 80188 | 4 | 15,994 | -101 | -0.6% | 24.8% | 12.4% | 5.9% | 52.2% | 12,342 | 22.1% | 11.5% | 5.5% | 56.6% | 11,049 | 19.9% | 8.3% | 6.1% | 63.1% |
| 80583 | 1 | 16,207 | 112 | 0.7% | 19.4% | 13.5% | 5.7% | 56.8% | 12,844 | 17.1% | 12.5% | 5.4% | 61.1% | 11,889 | 13.6% | 8.2% | 7.0% | 68.3% |
| 80583 | 2 | 16,061 | -34 | -0.2% | 24.7% | 14.9% | 7.1% | 48.7% | 11,853 | 22.5% | 14.3% | 6.4% | 52.6% | 11,980 | 19.0% | 9.7% | 9.6% | 60.2% |
| 80583 | 3 | 16,145 | 50 | 0.3% | 24.9% | 19.5% | 8.8% | 42.2% | 11,388 | 22.7% | 19.7% | 8.9% | 44.3% | 10,028 | 18.7% | 13.0% | 11.8% | 53.8% |
| 80583 | 4 | 15,968 | -127 | -0.8% | 37.7% | 13.8% | 8.5% | 35.6% | 11,474 | 35.9% | 13.6% | 8.0% | 38.2% | 9,343 | 30.0% | 12.5% | 6.9% | 45.6% |
| 81157 | 1 | 14,812 | -1,283 | -8.0% | 19.1% | 13.8% | 5.9% | 56.6% | 11,835 | 16.8% | 12.7% | 5.5% | 60.9% | 11,051 | 13.6% | 8.6% | 7.1% | 67.6% |
| 81157 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 81157 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 81157 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83903 | 1 | 16,187 | 92 | 0.6% | 16.0% | 15.3% | 6.5% | 58.3% | 13,038 | 14.0% | 13.9% | 5.8% | 62.8% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 83903 | 2 | 15,933 | -162 | -1.0% | 32.6% | 12.1% | 6.3% | 43.8% | 11,515 | 29.9% | 11.7% | 6.1% | 47.5% | 10,240 | 26.3% | 7.9% | 8.4% | 53.3% |
| 83903 | 3 | 16,042 | -53 | -0.3% | 33.4% | 16.2% | 8.6% | 37.6% | 11,440 | 32.0% | 16.3% | 8.3% | 39.3% | 9,325 | 24.0% | 14.7% | 8.8% | 50.8% |
| 83903 | 4 | 16,219 | 124 | 0.8% | 24.7% | 18.2% | 8.7% | 43.5% | 11,566 | 22.8% | 17.9% | 8.4% | 46.3% | 10,439 | 19.7% | 11.3% | 11.4% | 53.3% |
| 84605 | 1 | 16,165 | 70 | 0.4% | 22.6% | 19.4% | 8.6% | 44.3% | 11,502 | 20.8% | 19.2% | 8.2% | 47.2% | 11,566 | 17.3% | 13.2% | 9.8% | 55.6% |
| 84605 | 2 | 15,825 | -270 | -1.7% | 27.7% | 16.5% | 8.7% | 43.0% | 11,570 | 25.4% | 16.3% | 8.4% | 46.0% | 9,979 | 22.8% | 11.5% | 10.9% | 54.3% |
| 84605 | 3 | 15,864 | -231 | -1.4% | 35.7% | 13.2% | 7.4% | 38.9% | 11,273 | 33.6% | 12.8% | 7.1% | 41.9% | 9,593 | 24.7% | 11.2% | 7.9% | 51.9% |
| 84605 | 4 | 16,527 | 432 | 2.7% | 20.8% | 12.7% | 5.5% | 56.7% | 13,214 | 18.5% | 11.7% | 5.1% | 60.8% | 12,102 | 16.0% | 7.0% | 6.9% | 67.4% |
| 86080 | 1 | 16,020 | -75 | -0.5% | 16.4% | 15.6% | 6.8% | 57.3% | 12,866 | 14.2% | 14.3% | 6.0% | 61.9% | 13,059 | 12.6% | 9.8% | 7.7% | 68.7% |
| 86080 | 2 | 15,986 | -109 | -0.7% | 24.9% | 18.1% | 8.8% | 43.3% | 11,400 | 23.1% | 17.8% | 8.5% | 46.1% | 10,094 | 21.3% | 11.3% | 11.1% | 52.1% |
| 86080 | 3 | 16,230 | 135 | 0.8% | 29.3% | 12.1% | 5.6% | 47.9% | 11,832 | 26.9% | 11.6% | 5.4% | 51.3% | 10,634 | 21.2% | 7.4% | 7.7% | 59.5% |
| 86080 | 4 | 16,145 | 50 | 0.3% | 35.9% | 16.0% | 8.9% | 35.0% | 11,461 | 34.2% | 16.2% | 8.7% | 36.7% | 9,453 | 26.8% | 14.7% | 9.3% | 46.9% |
| 86630 | 1 | 16,212 | 117 | 0.7% | 31.8% | 11.8% | 6.1% | 45.4% | 11,673 | 29.3% | 11.4% | 5.8% | 49.0% | 10,576 | 23.9% | 7.7% | 8.6% | 56.5% |
| 86630 | 2 | 16,260 | 165 | 1.0% | 16.0% | 15.3% | 6.5% | 58.3% | 13,094 | 14.0% | 13.9% | 5.8% | 62.7% | 13,293 | 12.2% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.6% |
| 86630 | 3 | 15,843 | -252 | -1.6% | 24.8% | 18.3% | 8.8% | 43.1% | 11,330 | 23.0% | 18.1% | 8.5% | 45.9% | 10,210 | 22.0% | 11.4% | 11.1% | 51.5% |
| 86630 | 4 | 16,066 | -29 | -0.2% | 34.0% | 16.4% | 8.7% | 36.4% | 11,462 | 32.3% | 16.4% | 8.5% | 38.2% | 9,161 | 23.9% | 14.9% | 9.1% | 49.1% |

Brentwood Redistricting: January 13, 2022

Draft and Public Plans

| Plan | | Population | | | | | | | Voting Age Population | | | | | Citizen Voting Age Population | | | | |
|-------|---|------------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-----------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| Name | # | Total | Deviation | % Dev | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White | Total | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White | Total | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White |
| 86640 | 1 | 16,207 | 112 | 0.7% | 19.4% | 13.5% | 5.7% | 56.8% | 12,844 | 17.1% | 12.5% | 5.4% | 61.1% | 11,889 | 13.6% | 8.2% | 7.0% | 68.3% |
| 86640 | 2 | 16,042 | -53 | -0.3% | 24.6% | 15.1% | 7.1% | 48.7% | 11,929 | 22.3% | 14.4% | 6.4% | 52.8% | 11,385 | 20.2% | 10.2% | 8.2% | 59.4% |
| 86640 | 3 | 15,966 | -129 | -0.8% | 24.6% | 19.1% | 8.6% | 42.9% | 11,269 | 22.6% | 19.1% | 8.4% | 45.4% | 10,252 | 19.5% | 12.0% | 11.2% | 53.7% |
| 86640 | 4 | 16,166 | 71 | 0.4% | 38.0% | 14.1% | 8.6% | 34.9% | 11,517 | 36.2% | 14.0% | 8.5% | 37.0% | 9,714 | 27.4% | 12.7% | 9.4% | 47.2% |
| 86963 | 1 | 16,207 | 112 | 0.7% | 19.4% | 13.5% | 5.7% | 56.8% | 12,844 | 17.1% | 12.5% | 5.4% | 61.1% | 11,889 | 13.6% | 8.2% | 7.0% | 68.3% |
| 86963 | 2 | 16,042 | -53 | -0.3% | 24.6% | 15.1% | 7.1% | 48.7% | 11,929 | 22.3% | 14.4% | 6.4% | 52.8% | 11,385 | 20.2% | 10.2% | 8.2% | 59.4% |
| 86963 | 3 | 15,966 | -129 | -0.8% | 24.6% | 19.1% | 8.6% | 42.9% | 11,269 | 22.6% | 19.1% | 8.4% | 45.4% | 10,252 | 19.5% | 12.0% | 11.2% | 53.7% |
| 86963 | 4 | 16,166 | 71 | 0.4% | 38.0% | 14.1% | 8.6% | 34.9% | 11,517 | 36.2% | 14.0% | 8.5% | 37.0% | 9,714 | 27.4% | 12.7% | 9.4% | 47.2% |
| 87456 | 1 | 15,977 | -118 | -0.7% | 24.8% | 18.0% | 8.7% | 43.6% | 11,436 | 23.1% | 17.7% | 8.3% | 46.4% | 10,324 | 20.9% | 11.0% | 11.2% | 52.8% |
| 87456 | 2 | 16,089 | -6 | 0.0% | 36.0% | 16.2% | 8.8% | 34.8% | 11,436 | 34.2% | 16.3% | 8.6% | 36.6% | 9,322 | 26.6% | 14.8% | 9.4% | 46.7% |
| 87456 | 3 | 16,187 | 92 | 0.6% | 16.0% | 15.3% | 6.5% | 58.3% | 13,038 | 14.0% | 13.9% | 5.8% | 62.8% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 87456 | 4 | 16,128 | 33 | 0.2% | 29.8% | 12.3% | 6.1% | 46.6% | 11,649 | 27.4% | 12.0% | 5.9% | 50.1% | 10,358 | 22.5% | 8.1% | 8.2% | 57.5% |
| 87462 | 1 | 15,673 | -422 | -2.6% | 24.6% | 18.1% | 8.3% | 43.9% | 11,136 | 22.6% | 17.9% | 8.1% | 46.8% | 10,331 | 18.5% | 11.3% | 10.6% | 55.0% |
| 87462 | 2 | 15,903 | -192 | -1.2% | 36.4% | 15.6% | 8.7% | 35.2% | 11,277 | 34.8% | 15.7% | 8.3% | 37.0% | 9,373 | 27.4% | 14.4% | 8.8% | 47.2% |
| 87462 | 3 | 16,785 | 690 | 4.3% | 16.4% | 14.5% | 6.4% | 58.8% | 13,550 | 14.5% | 13.2% | 5.8% | 63.0% | 13,650 | 12.8% | 8.7% | 7.8% | 69.5% |
| 87462 | 4 | 16,020 | -75 | -0.5% | 29.6% | 13.7% | 6.7% | 44.7% | 11,596 | 27.3% | 13.3% | 6.6% | 48.2% | 9,886 | 23.8% | 9.0% | 8.5% | 54.5% |
| 87654 | 1 | 16,534 | 439 | 2.7% | 20.6% | 20.8% | 8.7% | 45.3% | 12,026 | 18.8% | 20.0% | 8.1% | 48.8% | 12,553 | 17.8% | 13.8% | 10.4% | 55.5% |
| 87654 | 2 | 16,064 | -31 | -0.2% | 29.8% | 16.2% | 8.9% | 40.6% | 11,444 | 27.8% | 16.4% | 8.9% | 42.5% | 9,317 | 20.0% | 12.4% | 10.7% | 54.3% |
| 87654 | 3 | 15,645 | -450 | -2.8% | 34.4% | 12.9% | 7.4% | 40.5% | 11,240 | 32.3% | 12.7% | 6.9% | 43.5% | 9,543 | 27.4% | 8.9% | 8.4% | 51.1% |
| 87654 | 4 | 16,138 | 43 | 0.3% | 22.1% | 11.6% | 5.0% | 56.8% | 12,849 | 19.4% | 10.7% | 4.7% | 61.3% | 11,827 | 15.9% | 7.3% | 6.1% | 68.4% |
| 88923 | 1 | 16,187 | 92 | 0.6% | 16.0% | 15.3% | 6.5% | 58.3% | 13,038 | 14.0% | 13.9% | 5.8% | 62.8% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 88923 | 2 | 15,877 | -218 | -1.4% | 24.9% | 16.5% | 8.2% | 45.4% | 11,376 | 23.1% | 16.2% | 7.9% | 48.3% | 10,591 | 18.7% | 9.7% | 12.3% | 55.5% |
| 88923 | 3 | 16,134 | 39 | 0.2% | 29.7% | 13.8% | 6.6% | 44.7% | 11,637 | 27.4% | 13.4% | 6.3% | 48.2% | 10,005 | 24.9% | 9.3% | 6.9% | 54.7% |
| 88923 | 4 | 16,183 | 88 | 0.5% | 35.9% | 16.2% | 8.8% | 34.9% | 11,508 | 34.1% | 16.4% | 8.6% | 36.7% | 9,408 | 26.6% | 14.9% | 9.3% | 46.8% |
| 89376 | 1 | 16,511 | 416 | 2.6% | 32.3% | 13.2% | 6.7% | 42.6% | 11,909 | 30.1% | 12.8% | 6.3% | 46.0% | 9,867 | 24.7% | 8.9% | 7.7% | 53.0% |
| 89376 | 2 | 15,754 | -341 | -2.1% | 33.2% | 16.8% | 9.1% | 36.7% | 11,196 | 31.4% | 17.0% | 9.0% | 38.5% | 9,498 | 25.8% | 15.2% | 9.8% | 48.8% |
| 89376 | 3 | 16,187 | 92 | 0.6% | 16.0% | 15.3% | 6.5% | 58.3% | 13,038 | 14.0% | 13.9% | 5.8% | 62.8% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 89376 | 4 | 15,929 | -166 | -1.0% | 25.0% | 16.6% | 7.9% | 45.5% | 11,416 | 23.1% | 16.3% | 7.6% | 48.5% | 10,639 | 19.6% | 9.7% | 11.2% | 55.3% |
| 89391 | 1 | 16,335 | 240 | 1.5% | 16.0% | 15.3% | 6.5% | 58.3% | 13,151 | 14.0% | 13.9% | 5.8% | 62.7% | 13,351 | 12.2% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.6% |
| 89391 | 2 | 16,378 | 283 | 1.8% | 25.2% | 16.2% | 8.1% | 45.6% | 11,745 | 23.3% | 15.9% | 7.8% | 48.5% | 10,958 | 19.0% | 9.5% | 12.5% | 55.4% |
| 89391 | 3 | 15,786 | -309 | -1.9% | 33.3% | 12.2% | 6.4% | 43.2% | 11,326 | 30.8% | 11.8% | 6.1% | 46.8% | 9,939 | 26.6% | 8.5% | 7.1% | 54.2% |
| 89391 | 4 | 15,882 | -213 | -1.3% | 32.3% | 18.1% | 9.1% | 36.0% | 11,337 | 30.7% | 18.2% | 8.9% | 37.7% | 8,992 | 24.8% | 16.3% | 8.9% | 47.0% |

Brentwood Redistricting: January 13, 2022

Draft and Public Plans

| Plan | | Population | | | | | | | Voting Age Population | | | | | Citizen Voting Age Population | | | | |
|-------|---|------------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-----------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| Name | # | Total | Deviation | % Dev | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White | Total | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White | Total | Latino | Asian | Black | NH White |
| 90309 | 1 | 16,929 | 834 | 5.2% | 16.4% | 15.3% | 6.3% | 58.1% | 13,604 | 14.4% | 13.8% | 5.7% | 62.5% | 13,810 | 12.7% | 9.4% | 7.0% | 69.4% |
| 90309 | 2 | 14,952 | -1,143 | -7.1% | 24.3% | 18.4% | 9.0% | 43.2% | 10,640 | 22.6% | 18.2% | 8.7% | 45.9% | 9,584 | 19.4% | 11.3% | 11.8% | 52.7% |
| 90309 | 3 | 15,207 | -888 | -5.5% | 34.0% | 16.9% | 8.8% | 36.0% | 10,854 | 32.4% | 16.9% | 8.6% | 37.8% | 8,655 | 24.0% | 15.5% | 9.3% | 48.9% |
| 90309 | 4 | 17,293 | 1,198 | 7.4% | 32.1% | 11.8% | 6.3% | 44.8% | 12,461 | 29.6% | 11.5% | 6.0% | 48.3% | 11,191 | 25.9% | 7.9% | 8.2% | 54.7% |
| 90990 | 1 | 16,100 | 5 | 0.0% | 21.8% | 19.0% | 8.2% | 46.3% | 11,728 | 20.0% | 18.4% | 7.5% | 50.0% | 12,234 | 17.9% | 12.8% | 9.2% | 57.8% |
| 90990 | 2 | 15,899 | -196 | -1.2% | 29.4% | 16.3% | 8.4% | 41.2% | 11,354 | 27.4% | 16.4% | 8.4% | 43.4% | 9,329 | 23.3% | 10.9% | 10.8% | 51.8% |
| 90990 | 3 | 16,527 | 432 | 2.7% | 20.8% | 12.7% | 5.5% | 56.7% | 13,214 | 18.5% | 11.7% | 5.1% | 60.8% | 12,102 | 16.0% | 7.0% | 6.9% | 67.4% |
| 90990 | 4 | 15,855 | -240 | -1.5% | 34.7% | 13.8% | 8.1% | 38.6% | 11,263 | 32.6% | 13.5% | 7.8% | 41.6% | 9,575 | 23.9% | 12.3% | 8.9% | 51.4% |
| 94471 | 1 | 16,125 | 30 | 0.2% | 32.7% | 13.6% | 7.1% | 42.0% | 11,519 | 30.9% | 13.2% | 6.8% | 44.7% | 10,014 | 22.3% | 12.0% | 7.7% | 54.6% |
| 94471 | 2 | 16,192 | 97 | 0.6% | 32.9% | 16.3% | 8.3% | 37.6% | 11,626 | 30.5% | 16.4% | 8.1% | 40.2% | 9,399 | 29.4% | 12.0% | 8.5% | 46.9% |
| 94471 | 3 | 16,187 | 92 | 0.6% | 16.0% | 15.3% | 6.5% | 58.3% | 13,038 | 14.0% | 13.9% | 5.8% | 62.8% | 13,236 | 12.1% | 9.4% | 7.2% | 69.7% |
| 94471 | 4 | 15,877 | -218 | -1.4% | 24.9% | 16.5% | 8.2% | 45.4% | 11,376 | 23.1% | 16.2% | 7.9% | 48.3% | 10,591 | 18.7% | 9.7% | 12.3% | 55.5% |
| 96726 | 1 | 16,207 | 112 | 0.7% | 19.4% | 13.5% | 5.7% | 56.8% | 12,844 | 17.1% | 12.5% | 5.4% | 61.1% | 11,889 | 13.6% | 8.2% | 7.0% | 68.3% |
| 96726 | 2 | 16,070 | -25 | -0.2% | 21.9% | 19.0% | 8.3% | 46.3% | 11,691 | 19.9% | 18.3% | 7.6% | 50.1% | 12,348 | 18.2% | 12.8% | 10.0% | 56.8% |
| 96726 | 3 | 16,111 | 16 | 0.1% | 31.5% | 12.7% | 7.4% | 43.5% | 11,630 | 29.1% | 12.6% | 7.2% | 46.6% | 9,946 | 26.0% | 7.0% | 9.3% | 53.8% |
| 96726 | 4 | 15,993 | -102 | -0.6% | 33.8% | 16.6% | 8.7% | 36.6% | 11,394 | 32.0% | 16.6% | 8.5% | 38.5% | 9,057 | 23.5% | 14.9% | 9.1% | 49.7% |

* Population and Voting Age Population from 2020 Census Redistricting data. Adjusted for incarcerated populations.

* Citizen Voting Age Population from adjusted 2015-2019 American Community Survey Special Tabulation.

* Racial/Ethnic data calculated pursuant to OMB BULLETIN NO. 00-02.